WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

ne:_	12:30p Weather Conditions: Sony -6°			
		Yes	No	Notes
CR]I	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84	4)		
1.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?		X	
2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?		X	
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.		X	
RF	ugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4	4))		
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.	X		, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?	X	Amatamusum ilialatikan sad	
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?		X	
7,	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?	mingha mhaidhadha a b	V	dipalanganingtinanggipanggakkum unanggab panggian si
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.		X	Medical and the second of the
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.	X		
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question		X	errettypping Marie and the Section of the Section Sect
1.	Were the citizen complaints logged?		λ	